Yoghurt and curd cheese addition to wheat bread dough: Impact on \textit{in vitro} starch digestibility and estimated glycemic index
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

The effect of yoghurt and curd cheese additions on pasting properties, starch digestibility and estimated glycemic index of wheat bread were studied. Yoghurt and curd cheese incorporations (6% up to 25% w/w) promoted considerable changes on starch performance based on gelatinization and final dough consistency properties. These changes led to a significant impact on starch digestibility, reducing significantly the rapidly digestible starch while increasing the resistant starch. The estimated glycemic index reflected the changes promoted on starch performance from both dairy products addition, at higher level tested (25%): a significant reduction of around 30% for yoghurt bread and 38% for curd cheese bread, was obtained, resulting in medium to low (55–69) glycemic index breads. Correlations were found between pasting properties, starch digestibility and glycemic index, revealing that the effects observed are proportional to the levels of dairy products added. Microstructure images of the starch granules supported these findings.

1. Introduction

Bread has long been part of the human diet and nutrition for thousands of years (Smith, Daifas, El-Khoury, Koukoutsis, & El-Khoury, 2004), and is also rich in high-level of rapidly digestible starch which can impact on the glycemic response (Shumoy, Van Bockstaele, Devecioglu, & Raes, 2018). In this sense and considering that health issues have been a top priority for the consumers, it is important to search for new production strategies and/or new bakery’s ingredients to reduce the glycemic response of starchy-rich foods.

Accordingly, dairies can be considered potential bakery’s ingredients, since they are reported as low glycemic index products (GI < 55) (Wolter, Hager, Zannini & Arendt, 2014), in addition to rich protein sources with essential amino acids profile, that can be alternative strategies to reduce the glycemic response of the bakery goods. Yoghurt (Yg), is considered the most popular dairy product (DP) worldwide for its nutritional and health benefits, since it is a source of protein (casein), exopolysaccharides (EPS), vitamins (B2, B6, and B12), and minerals (such as Ca, P, and K), representing an alternative for healthier bakery products (Sharafi et al., 2017; Graça et al., 2019; 2020).

Curd cheese (Cc) is a cheese co-product, obtained by the thermal denaturation and subsequent precipitation of the soluble whey protein (WP), essentially composed by β-lactoglobulin, α-lactalbumin and bovine serum albumin (20–25% of the milk proteins). A considerable impact on protein and mineral profile enhancement on wheat bread, by Cc additions, was earlier reported (Graça et al., 2019).

Recent evidence has shown that the enrichment of the wheat bread formulation by protein-rich ingredients, can reinforce the interaction between starch and proteins, further enhanced by baking process, limiting the accessibility of α-amylase to starch granules and probably reducing the glycemic response (Fardet et al., 2006; Chung, Lin, Hoover, Warkentin, & Vindenberg, 2008). Furthermore, the presence of fiber and/or other microbial exopolysaccharides, in addition to starch–protein interactions, may also contribute to reducing the GI (Fardet et al., 2006; Lynch, Coffey, & Arendt, 2018).

Therefore, the inclusion of yoghurt and curd cheese as bakery ingredients can be an approach to control the enzymatic attack on starch via encapsulation mechanisms by protein–starch interaction, impacting on starch gelatinization performance during the baking process.

This work aimed to study the influence of plain yoghurt or fresh curd cheese additions (6% up to 25%), to reduce the glycemic response of the wheat bread. The impact of Yg or Cc on starch performance, by heating–cooling cycles, was firstly assessed. The physical integrity of starch granules structure, after heating–cooling cycles, was evaluated by scanning electron microscopy. Subsequently, the starch digestibility of the obtained wheat bread was evaluated by an \textit{in vitro} digestion model, and the glycemic index was calculated. Correlations between
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pasting properties, starch digestibility and glycemic index were tested to acquire additional information about the processes involved.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Raw materials

Bread was prepared using commercial wheat flour Type 65 from Granel Cereal Milling Industry, Alverca, Portugal (13.5% moisture, 11.5% protein and 25% of carbohydrates, w/w).

The plain yoghurt (Yg) used is a product from Nestlé LongaVida, Portugal (88.6% moisture, 4% protein, 5.5% carbohydrates, w/w). The dry extract of Yg was determined from the Standard Portuguese Method: NP.703–1982 (Standard Portuguese Norm), corresponding to 11.5% of dry matter.

The fresh curd cheese (Cc) used was from Lacticínios do Paiva, Lamego, Paiva, Portugal (75% moisture, 11% protein, 3% carbohydrates, w/w). The dry extract of Cc was determined from the Standard Portuguese Method: NP.3544–1987 (Standard Portuguese Norm), corresponding to 25% of dry matter.

Commercial white crystalline saccharose (Sidul, Santa Iria de Azóia, Portugal), sea salt (Vatel, Alverca, Portugal), baker’s dry yeast (Fermipan, Lallemand Iberia, SA, Setubal, Portugal), and SSL–E481-sodium stearoyl-2-lactylate (Puratos, Portugal) were also used.

2.2. Bread dough preparation

The bread dough was prepared according to Graça et al. (2019) 2.4% yeast and 0.6% sugar were added to warm (distilled) water and dissolved well; 1.0% salt, 0.3% SSL and 6%, 18% or 25% of dairy products were incorporated into 58.5–53.0% wheat flour and mixed with 31.3–19.0% (distilled) water, according to each bread formulation, to complete 36.6% of wheat flour water absorption, previously optimized (Table 1. Supplementary Materials).

The bread dough preparation was performed in triplicate by randomized sampling to cover the variability of the raw materials used.

2.3. Pasting properties

The effect of Yg and Cc addition on starch rheology behaviour of the wheat dough was evaluated using the microdoughLab equipment applying the mixing and heating–cooling cycles, according to following setting conditions were: sample homogenization for 30 s, mixing curve at 30 °C for 360 s, heating from 30 to 95 °C for 390 s, holding at 95 °C for 60 s, cooling down to 30 °C for 390 s, at similar temperature rate (0.17 °C/s). Paddle speed was 63 rpm for the first 30 s, and then set steady at 120 rpm for running the analysis.

The dough consistency values (expressed in torque units, mNm) produced by kneading the wheat dough is measured, in real time, to study its physical behavior, delivering the follow parameters (AACC, 54-60.01): water absorption (%) - the percentage of water required to reach the optimal dough consistency, dough development time (C1) or maximum dough consistency, which is driven by the gluten matrix; protein weakening (C2), at this stage the temperature starts to rise, and the lower consistency is attained, due to the heat denaturation of proteins; starch gelatinization (C3), under constant shear and increase of temperature the starch granules starts to swell, and will break, loose amylose and gelatinize, increasing the torque values; cooking stability (C4) or the minimum torque reached at this phase of cooking, in which the amylase activity is dominating as well as the stabilization of the amylose network; starch gelling (C5) or final consistency peak torque produced by further cooling, in which gelation taking the lead with possible retrogradation/crystallization of amylose and continuous increase of torque and final consistency (Huang et al., 2010).

Triplicates were performed to ensure reproducible results.

2.4. In vitro starch hydrolysis

2.4.1. Total starch (TS)

The total starch in bread crumb samples: control, Yg and Cc breads, was determined enzymatically following the method described by Goni et al. (1997).

All the incubation steps were performed in a controlled shaking - bath equipment (Thermo-Scientific- Model: 2871, Waltham, MA, U.S.A).

Ground bread (50 mg) was dispersed in 6 ml of 2 M KOH and shaken (30 min at room temperature); 3 ml of 0.4 M sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.75) and 60 µl of amyloglucosidase (3300U/mL) (EC-3.2.1.3, Sigma-Aldrich, Chemical Company, St Louis, MO, USA) were added; the suspension was incubated (45 min at 60 °C), under controlled shaking water-bath. Triplicates were performed.

2.4.1.1. Resistant starch (RS). Resistant starch was estimated according to the methodology described by Goni et al. (1997).

Ground bread sample (100 g) was incubated (60 min at 40 °C) with a pepsin solution, from porcine gastric mucosa (EC-3.4.23.1, Sigma-Aldrich, Chemical Company, St Louis, MO, USA) (1 g/10 ml buffer KCL-HCL: 40 000U/mL), to protein interference removal. Starch was hydrolyzed by adding pancreatic α-amylase (EC-3.2.1.1, Sigma-Aldrich, Chemical Company, St Louis, MO, USA) (40 mg α-amylase per ml Tris–maleate buffer: 200U/mL) and incubated (16 h at 37 °C); obtained samples were washed three times with deionized water, and the pellet was separated by centrifugation to further digestion with KOH 4 M; this solution (at pH 4.75) was incubated (45 min at 60 °C) with amyloglucosidase (3300U/ml).

Total and resistant starches were measured as glucose release, using a glucose oxidase–peroxidase (GODPOD) reagent kit (K-Glox, Megazyme Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland). The absorbance (510 nm) was

Table 1

Variation of the rheology parameters of wheat starch by the addition of dairy products, evaluated during mixing and heating–cooling circles on microdoughLab analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>WA (%)</th>
<th>DD (mNm)</th>
<th>PW (mNm)</th>
<th>SG (mNm)</th>
<th>GT (°C)</th>
<th>CS (mNm)</th>
<th>FV (mNm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>52.4 ± 0.5^a</td>
<td>128.0 ± 2.9^a</td>
<td>77.7 ± 1.2^a</td>
<td>250.0 ± 6.0^a</td>
<td>83.9 ± 0.8^a</td>
<td>221.7 ± 11.0^a</td>
<td>594.0 ± 4.4^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yg 0%</td>
<td>51.6 ± 2.1^a</td>
<td>135.3 ± 0.1^a</td>
<td>76.3 ± 2.1^a</td>
<td>244.0 ± 1.7^a</td>
<td>81.8 ± 1.5^a</td>
<td>237.7 ± 2.5^a</td>
<td>593.3 ± 2.1^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yg 15%</td>
<td>45.4 ± 0.4^a</td>
<td>131.3 ± 4.2^a</td>
<td>79.1 ± 3.2^a</td>
<td>229.5 ± 2.2^a</td>
<td>81.8 ± 1.3^a</td>
<td>197.0 ± 6.1^a</td>
<td>389.0 ± 3.1^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yg 25%</td>
<td>39.3 ± 1.2^a</td>
<td>132.7 ± 2.3^a</td>
<td>75.3 ± 3.2^a</td>
<td>185.3 ± 4.6^a</td>
<td>79.7 ± 1.8^a</td>
<td>65.5 ± 2.1^a</td>
<td>600.0 ± 7.0^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc 0%</td>
<td>51.8 ± 2.3^a</td>
<td>130.7 ± 0.2^a</td>
<td>73.1 ± 1.2^a</td>
<td>217.5 ± 5.1^a</td>
<td>78.2 ± 1.7^a</td>
<td>106.3 ± 9.6^a</td>
<td>551.3 ± 2.6^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc 5%</td>
<td>49.6 ± 0.1^b</td>
<td>127.0 ± 2.0^a</td>
<td>71.7 ± 0.6^a</td>
<td>179.7 ± 7.1^a</td>
<td>73.5 ± 0.7^a</td>
<td>97.1 ± 1.3^a</td>
<td>495.0 ± 0.6^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc 15%</td>
<td>50.7 ± 0.6^c</td>
<td>127.3 ± 5.8^a</td>
<td>69.7 ± 3.5^a</td>
<td>112.7 ± 1.2^a</td>
<td>69.4 ± 1.5^a</td>
<td>54.0 ± 1.5^a</td>
<td>86.7 ± 6.7^a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WA- water absorption (%); DD- dough development (C1); PW – protein weakening (C2); SG- starch gelatinization (C3); GT- gelatinization temperature; CS- cooking stability (C4); FV- final viscosity (C5). Different letters within the same column are statistically different (p < 0.05).
measured using a microplate reader (Spectramax, Bio-TEK, Multi-Detection Synergy HT, UK). Starch was calculated as glucose (mg) × 0.9 (conversion factor). Triplicates were performed.

2.4.1.2. In vitro starch digestibility and estimated glycemic index. To evaluate the effect of the DP addition on the starch digestibility and to predicted glycemic index of the bread, an in vitro starch hydrolysis based in the procedure described by Goni et al. (1997), was applied. Three phases were simulated: 1) to chewing phase, bread sample (100 mg) was milled; 2) to gastric phase, the grounded bread was dispersed in 10 ml of 0.1 M KCl-HCL buffer (pH 1.5) and 200 µl of pepsin solution (1 g/10 ml KCL-HCL buffer), followed by incubation (60 min at 40 °C); 3) to pancreatic phase, 25 ml of 0.1 M of tris-maleate buffer (pH 6.9) and 5 ml of a pancreatic α-amylase solution (3U/ml tris-maleate buffer) was added; followed by incubation (37 °C). Triplicates of 1 ml were taken at every thirty minutes (30–180 min) and placed into boiling water (5 min) to inactivate the enzyme reaction; followed by refrigeration conditions (4 °C) until the end of incubation time (180 min). For each aliquot taken, 3 ml of 0.4 M sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.75) and 60 µl of amylglucosidase (3300 U/ ml) were added, followed by incubation (45 min at 60 °C); the volume was adjusted to 10 ml with distilled water, mixed and centrifuged (3000 × g/10 min); the supernatant was taken for glucose determination.

The glucose content was measured using a glucose oxidase–peroxidase (GODPOD) kit as described for total and resistant starch procedure. Triplicates were performed.

A nonlinear model, as expressed by Eq. (1), was employed to describe the kinetics of starch hydrolysis, where $C$ was the concentration at time $t$, $C_0$ was the equilibrium concentration, $k$ was the kinetic constant and $t$ was the time.

$$C = C_0 e^{-kt} \quad (1)$$

The hydrolysis index (HI), was obtained from Eq. (2), dividing the area under hydrolysis curve of the breads (AUC 0–180 min) by the area under curve of the reference food (fresh white wheat bread) over the same period time.

$$HI = \frac{\text{AUC of product}}{\text{AUC Reference food}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

The in vitro digestion kinetics was calculated in accordance with the procedure established by Goni et al. (1997).
The estimation of glycemic indices (eGI) were calculated according to Eq. (3), proposed earlier by Goni et al. (1997).

\[
eGI = (0.549 \times HI) + 39.71
\]  

(3)

2.5. Microstructure of the dough – Starch granules size

A scanning electron microscope (SEM) (TM3030 PLUS - TabletUp Microscope- Hitachi, Japan) was used to observe the starch granules physical integrity of control dough, Yg and Cc doughs, after the heating–cooling cycles applied. Samples were placed on the specimen holder, dried automatically by the equipment, and the freezing model was applied (−14 °C). The observations were analysed at 800× of magnification, with scale bar of 100 µm. Triplicates were performed.
2.6. Statistical analysis

The experimental results were statistically analysed by determining the average value, standard deviation, and the significance level was set at 95%, for each parameter evaluated. Statistical analysis (RStudio, Version 1.1.423) was performed by applying variance analysis, the one factor (ANOVA), and post-hoc comparisons (Tukey test). The experimental data was fitted to nonlinear model and the relation between continuous variables was carried out by correlation matrix using the Pearson product moment correlation distribution to significantly < 0.05.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Pasting properties of wheat starch

The impact of Yg and Cc incorporation to wheat dough on gluten and starch performance, was studied based on pasting properties microodoughLab procedure.

From Fig. 1 the heating–cooling cycles obtained show the different impacts of the Yg (A) and Cc (B) on gluten proteins and on starch behavior, compared with control dough.

The impact observed by Yg addition is much lower than that observed for the Cc addition, most probably due to the different structure of the proteins involved on the starch-gluten matrix as well as the differences on protein content (Bertolini et al., 2005; Noisuwan et al., 2008). Some peak values reflect the influence of the Yg and Cc on pasting properties of doughs (Fig. 1), and these parameters are summarized in Table 1. The incorporation of Yg on wheat dough, up to 18% (w/w) of addition, has no significant effect (p > 0.05) on protein weakening (C2), starch gelatinization (C3), cooking stability (C4) and final consistency (C5), with values similar to the control ones. For higher levels of Yg tested (25%, w/w) a significant decrease (p < 0.05) on C2, meaning further protein weakening (27.3 ± 3.2 mNm), compared to control dough (77.7 ± 1.2 mNm), was observed, most probably due to the dilution effect of the gluten proteins (Graça et al., 2018; 2019). The lubrication effect of gluten by the exopolysaccharides (EPS) produced by lactic acid bacteria in Yg can be also considered (Lynch et al., 2018) to explain this observed torque reduction. A significant decrease (p < 0.05) on peak consistency (C3), by starch gelatinization (C5), was determined, compared to control dough (25.0 ± 6.0 mNm), representing a reduction of 26%. During cooking stability (C4), a phase separation process was observed, promoting a significant decrease in torque units of Yg dough (65.5 ± 2.1 mNm) compared to control dough (221.7 ± 11.0 mNm), that represents 70.5% of torque variation. However, during cooling stage, the Yg dough structure reinforces with values of final torque (C5) slightly higher (600.0 ± 7.6 mNm) than the control dough (594.0 ± 4.4 mNm). This result suggests that the caseins and the EPS may have strong interactions with starch molecules, which upon cooling increase the consistency by becoming entrapped in the gelled matrix, by EPS-amylase-casein-gluten interactions. Probably, this behaviour was mediated by the depletion flocculation mechanism (phase separation phenomena), as earlier described in similar complex systems (Nunes, Raymundo, & Sousa, 2006; Considère, Noisuwan, Hemar, Wilkinson, Bronlund, & Kasapis, 2011).

According to the results obtained for Cc addition, at all levels tested, on stages of dough development (C1) and protein weakening (C2), no significant effects were observed, presenting values like those obtained to control. However, as the temperature increases, the addition of different CC levels promoted significant changes on starch performance, in terms of starch gelatinization, cooking stability and final consistency. Considering higher levels of Cc tested (25%, w/w), where the impact was more evident, a strong effect on starch performance was noticed: starting from starch gelatinization, a significant decrease on peak consistency (112.7 ± 1.2 mNm) was registered, compared to control dough (250.0 ± 6.0 mNm), representing a reduction of 55%. The cooking stability (C4) and the final consistency (C5) were also negatively affected, representing a decrease in torque units of 49.2% and 84.3%, respectively. These results suggested that denatured Cc proteins have a thermodynamic incompatibility with hydrolyzed starch molecules, hindering a matrix structuration during cooking, which could be attributed not only to the dilution effect of the starch but also to different causes like: i) the starch started to swell but due to the high competition for available water, from denatured Cc proteins, swelling was hindered (Noisuwan et al., 2008) by insufficient hydration; ii) starch-protein interactions, reducing the volume portion occupied by swelled granules in dough and the concentration of the amylose molecules (Chung et al., 2008).

In Cc doughs, the starch should be less damaged than in the Yg dough, as it can be seen by the lower values of torque for starch gelatinized (C3) and final peak consistency (C5), therefore less available.

According to the results obtained, it can be stated that the different nature and structure of the dairy proteins added in the form of the Yg and Cc, promoted different effects on starch rheology behaviour. These changes were probably a result of complex dough structure modification, which can be attributed to the macromolecules interactions, and/or phase separation mechanisms, due to the incompatibility phenomena between the molecular chains involved on dough matrix.

3.2. In vitro starch digestibility of wheat bread

The impact of yoghurt and curd cheese addition, at different levels, on in vitro starch digestibility was evaluated based on the procedure well described earlier by Goni et al. (1997).

The levels (g/100 g) of total starch (TS), resistant starch (RS) and digestible starch (DS) of the developed breads were determined and are summarized in Table 2.

The TS content in the dairy breads ranged from 60.0 to 50.0 g/100 g for Yg breads and from 50.0 to 40.0 g/100 g for Cc breads, compared to control bread (65.0 g/100 g). Resistant starch (RS) content varied among the dairy breads with a range of 5.0–7.0 g/100 g for Yg breads and 6.1–7.6 g/100 g for Cc breads, compared to the control one (4.4 g/100 g). Comparing the control bread and maximum levels of both dairy products tested (25%, w/w) the increase on RS content was higher in Cc breads (73.0%) than in Yg breads (66%).

This significant increase in RS content, could be attributed to the effect on the starch performance, probably by entrapping the granules and hindering the complete gelatinization, possibly due to the establishment of starch-proteins interaction further enhanced during the baking stage (Fardet et al., 2006; Chung, Lin, Hoover, Warkentin, & Vandenberg, 2008). In addition, the competition to available water should also be considered to justify this starch behaviour, since a strong depletion on starch gelatinization was observed, which can be associated to the insufficient starch water uptake. RS acts as a soluble fiber, since it is not absorbed in small intestine but is fermented in the large intestine by bacteria microbiome, which is considered as beneficial to human health (Lehmann & Robin, 2007). These results agree with those

Table 2 Total Starch (TS), Resistant Starch (RS) and Digestible Starch (DS) of control (CB), yoghurt (Yg) and curd cheese (Cc) breads.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>TS (g/100 g)</th>
<th>RS (g/100 g)</th>
<th>DS (TS-RS) (g/100 g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>65.0 ± 1.1b</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.4a</td>
<td>60.3 ± 0.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yg0%</td>
<td>60.0 ± 4.1a</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.5b</td>
<td>55.0 ± 2.2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yg5%</td>
<td>59.0 ± 1.3a</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.0a</td>
<td>54.0 ± 1.5a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yg10%</td>
<td>49.4 ± 0.6a</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.1a</td>
<td>42.4 ± 0.9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc0%</td>
<td>50.0 ± 2.7a</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.3a</td>
<td>43.9 ± 1.8a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc5%</td>
<td>47.3 ± 1.3a</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.5a</td>
<td>41.0 ± 2.6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc10%</td>
<td>40.0 ± 3.5a</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.6a</td>
<td>31.3 ± 2.1a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters within the same column are significantly different (p < 0.05).
obtained in pasting properties where a strong negative effect on starch gelatinization was observed for higher levels of Cc addition tested.

In terms of digestible starch (DS), both Yg and Cc addition at the higher levels tested (25%, w/w) promoted a significant reduction (p < 0.05) of 29.0% and 48.0%, respectively. From the results pre-sented, the dilution effect of the starch (TS and DS) is evident, and these results are also in agreement with those obtained on pasting properties. The starch fractions variation observed can be attributed to the inter-action between dairy proteins (caseins from Yg and denatured whey proteins from Cc, additions) and starch molecules, restricting the granules swelling and extension of the starch gelatinization. This result in a lower susceptibility to enzymatic attack, which may be partially responsible for the low starch digestibility observed (Chandrashekar & Kirleis, 1988; Reshmi et al., 2017).

As it is well-known, the rate of starch digestion is the main factor that influences the glucose release and absorption during digestion (Jenkins et al., 1982). Based on in vitro hydrolysis the digestible starch can be distinguished into two different fractions: rapidly digested starch (RDS) - hydrolyzed within the first 30 min of digestion and slowly digested starch (SDS) - digested within the following 100 min. RDS has a significant impact on glycemic response, whereas the SDS presents low impact, due to the slow glucose release over the hydrolysis time (Englyst et al., 1996).

The influence of both DP on digestible starch fractions (RDS and SDS) was evaluated, and the results are illustrated in Fig. 2. The Yg (A) and Cc (B) additions had a positive influence on digestible starch fractions: from DP additions of 18% (w/w), a significant reduction (p < 0.05) on RDS was observed, and a remarkable effect was achieved for higher levels of both DP tested (25%, w/w), representing a reduction of 62% for Yg and 73% for Cc breads, compared to the control bread. Since the starch gelatinization, during baking process, is the main mechanism responsible for the RDS fraction increase (Shumoy et al., 2018), these results should reflect the dilution effect on starch granules and/or the reinforcement of starch-protein interaction promoted by the Yg and Cc addition, driving to a inhibition of the gelatinization process, that may lead to a significant reduction on RDS.

Based on SDS fraction and considering the higher level of the Yg and Cc additions tested (25%, w/w), an increase of 54.2% on Yg bread was attained, whereas for Cc bread only 23.3% of increase was observed, compared to the control bread. This lower increase of SDS to Cc bread could be attributed to the negative effect of Cc on starch gelatinization, driving to starch granules becoming more resistance to enzymatic attack, increasing the resistant starch content (Table 2).

In general, higher values of SDS are desirable over the RDS, due to nutritional aspects related to the slowly digestible of the starch in the small intestine, inducing a gradual insulin production after ingestion (Jenkins et al., 1982). Similar results were obtained by other authors (Giuberti, Gallo, Cerioli, Fortunati, & Masoero, 2015) using bean flour to produce gluten-free pasta.

Based on the results presented, it was noticeable that the impact of DP on starch performance, mainly on gelatinization and final consistency values, is reflected on digestible starch fractions. Therefore, a possible correlation between the addition of DP on pasting properties and in vitro starch hydrolysis was investigated by Pearson’s correlation analysis (p < 0.05). A negative correlation was found between pasting properties with both DP additions: starch gelatinization (rYg = −0.971; rCc = −0.979), cooking stability (rYg = −0.928) and final consistency (rYg = −0.688; rCc = −0.984). A positive correlation was observed for Cc addition on cooking stability (rCc = 0.574). In terms of starch digestibility, a negative correlation was also observed between RDS and both DP (rYg = −0.846; rCc = −0.992), whereas a positive correlation was observed for SDS (rYg = 0.671; rCc = 0.887) and RS (rYg = 0.855; rCc = 0.915), supporting the relations aforementioned.

These correlations telling that the effect observed on pasting properties is proportional to the quantity of DP added.

Considering the possible relation between pasting properties and digestible starch fraction, for both DP tested, RDS is positively correlated with starch gelatinization (rYg = 0.947; rCc = 0.988) and final consistency (rYg = 0.985; rCc = 0.896), whereas SDS showed a negative correlation (starch gelatinization: rYg = −0.826; rCc = −0.825; final consistency: rYg = −0.894; rCc = −0.914).

The impact of Yg and Cc addition on starch gelatinization and on final consistency should be considered to explain the results obtained, since the starch swelling and subsequent structure breakdown increase the accessibility of enzyme attack into the granules, consequently, increasing the RDS content. The gelatinized starch is more susceptible to hydrolysis generating higher RDS content and lower SDS and RS contents (Englyst et al., 1996; Chung et al., 2008).

The results presented show that the Yg and Cc additions, at higher level, promoted significant changes on starch physical behaviour which were reflected on in vitro starch digestibility, contributing to reduce significantly the RDS fraction, increasing the SDS and RS fractions, and this must have an impact in reducing the glycemic response of bread.

### 3.3. Hydrolysis kinetics and estimated glycemic index of the breads

The influence of the Yg and Cc additions on starch hydrolysis kinetics was studied and the glycemic index of the obtained wheat breads was calculated.

Nonlinear parameters of the starch hydrolysis kinetics were obtained by fitting the experimental data (Eq. (1)) that describes well the impact of dairy products on starch digestion dynamic (Goni et al., 1997). These fitted parameters included equilibrium concentration (Ceq), kinetics constant (k), hydrolysis index (HI) and estimated glycemic index (eGI), that are summarized at Table 3.

From Table 3, it can be observed that the incorporation of Yg and Cc had a significant impact on equilibrium concentration reduction (Ceq), varying from 32.7 to 32.2% for Yg breads and from 47.6 to 20.0% for Cc additions, compared to control bread (54.3%). These results are in line with those obtained for the starch fractions discussed above, where a considerable reduction on total starch was registered.
The kinetics constant ($k$), indicative of the enzymatic hydrolysis rate in the early stage, in general showed decreasing values with increasing levels of Yg and Cc: Yg breads ranging from 0.104 to 0.019, and for Cc breads varying between 0.077 and 0.033 compared to control bread (0.065). Lower values of $k$ suggest that the increase of dairy product additions to dough are probably promoting higher resistance to enzymatic starch hydrolysis, and the decrease in digestibility, may be explained by the starch–protein interaction, are limiting the starch digestion, during enzyme hydrolysis (Chung et al., 2008).

These results are in line with those obtained on digestible starch fractions, where a significant reduction on RDS and an increase on RS were registered. Similar results were obtained by Barine & Yorte (2016), by incorporation of "Amala" and Plantain on bread formula-tions.

Hydrolysis index (HI) calculated from the rate of starch hydrolysis over time and the respective estimated glycemic index (eGI) are also presented in Table 3. For Yg and Cc breads, up to 18% (w/w) of addition, higher values of HI resulted in higher eGI ranging from 94.7 to 84.1 to Yg breads and 88.0 to 76.6 to Cc breads, compared to control bread (100). The lowest HI and eGI was recorded at higher levels of Yg and Cc: Yg breads ranging from 0.065 to 0.019, and for Cc, 0.033 to 0.006. HI and eGI values obtained, by in vitro starch digestibility.

The findings agree with those registered on pasting properties by the addition of DP which are reflected on digestible starch fractions and support the results obtained on glycemic index of the dairy breads. Similar relations were reported by other authors (Reshmi et al., 2017) showing that the fortification of bread with pomelo fruit segments reduced the glycemic index of the breads. Low GI foods is desirable not only for consumers with diabetes, but also for all consumers in general, since it prevents the incidence of chronic metabolic diseases (Brand-Miller et al., 2009).

### 4. Microstructure of the wheat dough- starch granules size and structure integrity

Environment scanning electron microscopy (ESEM) was used to investigate the impact of the higher levels of Yg and Cc addition (25%, w/w) on starch granules size and structure integrity to explain and support the results, discussed above. The control, Yg and Cc doughs were collected after applying the heating/cooling cycles on microdoughLab analysis. From Fig. 3 A-C, the impact of the Yg (B) and Cc (C) addition on granules structure, compared to control dough (A), can be observed. Considering the control dough (A), the starch granules structures seem to be less intact on dough surface, as well as covered by a gummy structure acting such as a filling effect, aggregating the starch granular structure. This gummy structure resulted, most probably, from the amylopectin molecules released by the swelled starch breakdown and gelatinization during heating stage.

In the case of Yg dough (B), the ESEM image seems to show some swollen and unbroken starch granules and, although they also look aggregated by this gummy structure, it seems to be less noticeable than in control dough. However, comparing the images of control and Yg doughs, slight differences can be observed.

With Cc addition (C), a different picture can be seen, there is a higher number of intact starch granules preserved, and less aggregated, compared to control and Yg doughs. In addition, the gummy structure around swollen starch granules are much less perceptible, as in control and Yg dough, suggesting that the gelatinization process was negatively affected by Cc addition.

These results agree with those obtained on pasting properties evaluation, where a significant negative influence on starch gelatinization, either for Yg and Cc addition, was observed.

The ESEM images obtained could partially explain and support the results obtained by the addition of the Yg and Cc and their impact on pasting properties, on in vitro starch digestibility as well as on the GI values of the dairy breads.

### 5. Conclusion

Considering the importance of reducing the glycemic index of the bread, this study was conceived to evaluate the incorporation of yoghurt and curd cheese on wheat bread, as an alternative approach to reduce the glycemic response. The findings showed that higher additions (25%, w/w) of yoghurt and curd cheese negatively affected the starch gelatinization performance, and this effect was reflected on in vitro starch digestibility. A significant reduction on rapidly digestible starch was observed, while resistant starch increased, for both Yg and Cc breads, being stronger on the latter. In line with these results, are the estimated values of the glycemic index from the dairy breads, resulting in Yg breads with medium GI (55–69) and Cc breads with almost low GI (≤55).

Improvements observed in the glycemic response of the wheat dairy breads, can probably be associated not only to the dilution of starch, promoted by the dairy products additions, but also to further starch–protein interaction enhanced by baking, limiting the gelatinization process and the enzymatic attack to starch granules. The microstructure images obtained for starch granules surface, where higher integrity of the starch granules was observed for Cc dough, give some additional support to these findings.

Correlations were found between pasting properties, starch digestibility and glycemic index, meaning that the effects observed are proportional to the quantity of the dairy products added.

In summary, the incorporation of Yg and Cc showed to be an interesting strategy to reduce the glycemic response of the wheat bread.
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Fig. 3. Scanning electron micrographs (800x of magnification, scale bar = 100 µm): A- control dough; B- yoghurt dough and C- curd cheese dough (25%, w/w), evaluated after the heating–cooling cycles by microdoughLab analysis.

