Assessing riparian vegetation structure and the influence of land use using landscape metrics and geostatistical tools

Maria R. Fernandes*, Francisca C. Aguiar, Maria T. Ferreira

Forest Research Centre, Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Tapada da Ajuda, 1349-017 Lisbon, Portugal

A R T I C L E   I N F O

Article history:
Received 22 February 2010
Received in revised form 12 August 2010
Accepted 1 November 2010
Available online 26 November 2010

Keywords:
Riparian landscapes
Land cover
Human influence
Spatial patterns
Buffer
Autocorrelation

A B S T R A C T

Riparian areas are among the most threatened habitats in the world, due to human activities and land use in adjacent areas. In this study we sought to identify landscape metrics for describing the spatial patterns of riparian vegetation affected by land use. We also hypothesize that land use in the immediate vicinity of the riparian area (considered as a 30-m buffer) can have a greater effect on the structure of riparian vegetation than that in an enlarged buffer (i.e. 200 m). The study was conducted in the highly humanized River Tagus watershed (Central Portugal; Western Iberia), along over 80 km of river stretches. Riparian vegetation and land use data were obtained from high-resolution digital images (RGB-NIR 0.5 m × 0.5 m, spring 2005). Patch analyst was used to calculate landscape metrics related to the spatial configuration, isolation, inter-connectivity, and distribution of patches of three riparian cover classes (tree, shrub, and herbaceous). We quantified and accounted for the global and local spatial autocorrelation of data. Data treatment included redundancy analysis and geostatistic methods. Results showed that only a combined interpretation of various landscape metrics can consistently describe the spatial patterns of riparian vegetation. Riparian vegetation near agricultural areas (irrigation crops, rice fields, orchards, and vineyards), presented a low number of much smaller riparian tree patches with less complex shapes, and a low interspersion of the patch distribution. We found that proximal land use affects the structure of riparian vegetation more than distal land use – an important consideration for the establishment of streamside protection buffers.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Riparian zones are responsible for many ecological functions considered crucial to the preservation of river ecological conditions (Forman, 1995; Naiman and Décamp, 1997); they are, however, severely altered due to adjacent human activity and land use, especially in Mediterranean areas (Corbacho et al., 2003; Décamp et al., 1988; Gallego-Fernández et al., 1999; Hooke, 2006; von Schiller et al., 2008). Numerous studies have observed that the composition and spatial patterns of riparian vegetation can be significantly influenced by land use (Aguiar and Ferreira, 2005; Allan, 2004; Ferreira et al., 2005; Inoue and Nakagoshi, 2001), but few studies relate the influence of land use at increasing distances from the fluvial systems in rivers and riparian ecosystems (but see Bott et al., 2006; Bunn and Davies, 2000; McIntyre and Hobbs, 1999).

Stream management and restoration programs have broadly recognized the urgent need to develop methodologies for evaluating ecological river quality from multiple perspectives. Some studies have focused on floristic composition (Looy et al., 2008), structural and functional attributes, such as the longitudinal and lateral continuity of riparian vegetation (González-del-Tánago and García-Jalón, 2006), percentage of canopy cover, canopy continuity, and tree clearing (Aguiar et al., 2009; Johansen and Phinn, 2006), but all of them require intensive field surveys. Other methods are fast and visually based, but do not involve quantification (Dixon et al., 2006; Ward et al., 2003). In other cases, the riparian zone is mapped in a fixed buffer using remotely sensed image data (Congalton et al., 2002; Schult et al., 1999; Yang, 2007), but the mismatch between the established riparian buffer and the existent riparian zone usually cause errors in the estimation of vegetation cover. Efficient and quantitative remote measurements of the structure of riparian vegetation are thus needed in watersheds in order to provide on-the-ground management guidelines for these ecosystems. Image-based methods, satellite images or airborne digital images, become increasingly more cost-effective than field assessments when a higher level of detail is necessary (Johansen and Phinn, 2006). Moreover, high spatial resolution imagery (<5 m × 5 m pixels) is essential for mapping riparian vegetation, due to the limited width of riparian zones and the high spatial variability (Congalton et al., 2002; Davis et al., 2002; Muller, 1997).

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +351 213653380; fax: +351 213653338.
E-mail addresses: mrfernandes@isa.utl.pt, rosario.pereira.fernandes@gmail.com (M.R. Fernandes).

0169-2046/$ – see front matter © 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2010.11.001
The spatial patterns of riparian vegetation can influence ecological processes, such as flows of biomass, energy and nutrients, biological diversity and species dynamics (Rex and Malanson, 1990, Turner, 1989). Patches – homogenous areas differing from their surroundings in origin and dynamics – are the fundamental units of landscapes (Forman and Godron, 1981; Wiens, 1976). Helpful tools, such as landscape metrics using Geographical Information System (GIS) techniques, can characterize the structure of riparian vegetation (Apan et al., 2002). Landscape metrics are numeric descriptors that quantify patch configuration and the spatial relationships among patches, such as distribution, isolation and interspersion, and can consequently be used as expressions of ecological processes (Table 1). For instance, the Mean Shape Index – a configuration landscape metric which relates the patch area and its perimeter – can be used to evaluate the edge effect. Convoluted shapes indicate large boundaries, expressing high interactions with the adjacent matrix (Forman, 1995). Reduced connectivity and fragmental patterns indicated by Mean Proximity Index and Mean Nearest-Neighbor Distance, particularly in woody vegetation, represent poorer stream ecological conditions (Schuft et al., 1999). Also, the structure of riparian vegetation, the longitudinal continuity of vegetation patches, their aggregation, configuration, expansion limits, and distribution in the riparian zone, can reveal the level of human disturbance and can be used as an indicator of the status of the riparian zone (Johansen et al., 2007).

The traditional statistical approaches to exploring the spatial distribution of vegetation across a landscape generally ignore the spatial dependence of the data and assume the independence of the samples (Miller et al., 2007). However, one of the basic principles of both geographic and ecological theory is the direct relationship between proximity and similarity (Tobler, 1979). The elements that are closer to one another in an ecosystem tend to be influenced by the same processes and tend to present a greater degree of likeness (Legendre and Fortin, 1989) – a phenomenon called spatial autocorrelation. Spatial autocorrelation measures the correlation of a variable with itself through space, that means the lack of independence between pairs of observation at given distances in space (Legendre, 1993). Disregarding the spatial component in an ecological analysis can lead to erroneous results, since it is a source of bias in most ecological studies. The present study quantifies and accounts for the global and local spatial autocorrelation of the data. We mapped riparian patches and land use using airborne digital images (RGB-NIR spatial resolution 0.5 m × 0.5 m) of impaired landscapes in order to address the following questions:

- Can landscape metrics be used to characterize the structure of riparian vegetation?  
- What landscape metrics are most suitable for detecting alterations in spatial patterns of riparian vegetation due to land use pressure?  
- Does the land use in the immediate vicinity of the riparian zone have more influence on the spatial structure of riparian vegetation than the distal land use?
2. Methods

2.1. Site description

The study was conducted on four tributaries along the left margin of the River Tagus (Chouto, Margem, Muge and Sôr) (Fig. 1), all with similar climate and geomorphology. The studied stretches are mostly spread over calcareous Mesozoic formations and have a Mediterranean climate, with a high seasonal variability of rainfall patterns. According to the Atlas do Ambiente (http://www.iambiente.pt/atlas/), the annual runoff ranges from 200 to 300 mm, with an annual average rainfall of 600–800 mm and an annual average temperature of 15–17.5 °C. The land use in the study area is very heterogeneous, including small-scale agriculture, including orchards, vineyards, maize, pine and eucalyptus forests, Mediterranean shrublands, cork oaklands, and scattered human settlements.

2.2. Field sampling and hydrogeomorphology

Floristic surveys were carried out during the summer of 2004. Sampling sites (n = 15) were 200 m long sections of the riverbank at approximately 3 km intervals along the studied fluvial stretches. We recorded riparian woody species, tree and shrubs, and estimated percentage canopy cover using five classes: (1) <10%, (2) ≥10–25%, (3) ≥25–50%, (4) ≥50–75% and (5) ≥75%. We also recorded the total number of herbaceous species, and identified the most abundant ones (more than 5% cover).

The hydrogeomorphological characteristics of the streams – namely valley morphology, channel width, dominant substrates of riverbanks, and land use in the floodplain – were obtained from both field observations and GIS layers.

2.3. Structure of the riparian vegetation and land use assessment

A GIS was used to store and organize the data obtained from the on-screen photo interpretation of 1:5000 airborne digital images (RGB-NIR spatial resolution 0.5 m × 0.5 m; ortho-rectified and mosaicked, flyover date spring 2005). We studied 21 km of the River Sôr, 33 km of the River Muge, 16 km of the River Margem, and 13 km of the River Chouto.

The riparian zone is defined as the area from the edge of the stream bank to the external visible line of the canopy where an abrupt change in vegetation height, type and amount occurs (Johansen and Phinn, 2006).

We first divided the river reaches under study into 250 m long sections (sampling units). The lateral limits of the riparian zone were then manually digitalized for both riverbanks (Fig. 2a). Polygons of homogeneous strata of riparian vegetation – riparian patches – were delineated and classified into riparian vegetation.
cover classes, within each sampling unit: (i) trees; (ii) shrubs; and (iii) herbaceous. This was done using visual screening of image features, namely the spatial variation in pixel intensity pattern and the local contrast (gray level differences). Tree cover class had a higher variability in these textural features than the other classes, with the herbaceous class being the most homogenous of all. Areas with shadow were removed from the inner part of riparian patches to capture the overall complexity of their shapes.

Landscape metrics related with the spatial configuration, isolation, inter-connectivity, and distribution of riparian vegetation were calculated within each sampling unit for each riparian cover class using the patch analyst – vector format (ArcGis9) extension. Spearman Rank correlations ($R$) were initially used to evaluate the relationships between the landscape metrics available in the software. The correlated metrics ($|R| > 0.8; p < 0.01$) were eliminated to avoid redundancy in the data. Table 1 describes the selected landscape metrics, namely the Number of Patches, Mean Patch Size, Patch Size Coefficient of Variation, Mean Shape Index, Mean Fractal Dimension Index, Mean Nearest-Neighbor Distance, Mean Proximity Index, Interspersion and Juxtaposition Index, as well as their main ecological implications and contribution to the characterization of the structure of the riparian vegetation.

A connectivity distance of 5 m was applied to the Mean Proximity Index calculation, as used by Schuft et al. (1999) in the characterization of riparian-stream networks.

Two buffers (30 m and 200 m) were used to evaluate the influence on the riparian vegetation structure of proximal and distal land use (Fig. 2). Fifty sampling units scattered across the study area were used to identify the existing land uses; for this we used on-screen photo interpretation, along with information from 1:25,000 scale military maps from the Portuguese Army Geographic Institute (www.igeoe.pt). Four land use classes ordered by increasing physical and ecological impact in the riparian areas were considered: (1) agroforestry, including oak and cork-oak woodlands, natural pastures, scrublands, fallow ground, extensive crops, and mixed woodland; (2) forestry, including plantations of pine and eucalyptus; (3) agriculture, including irrigation crops, rice fields, orchards, and vineyards; and (4) urban, including settlements and industrial areas. The agroforestry class is dominated by the “montados”, a traditional agrosilvopastoral system characterized by long agricultural rotations and closed nutrient cycles without fertilizers and pesticides (Plieninger and Wilbrand, 2004). The main ecological consequences of this land use type include the removal of bank vegetation and a decreasing rate of natural regeneration; the other land uses in the study area present manifold and more severe physical and ecological consequences for riparian areas and inhabitant communities than the agroforestry class (Table 2).

Patches of land use were delimited for each buffer within each sampling unit. Land use classes were evaluated in terms of percentage of area occupied, after grouping the patches of the same class. Roads were also taken into account and quantified in length (km) for each sampling unit and land use buffer.

During the summer of 2007, field observations were made in about 25% of the total study area in order to validate the photo
interpretation, to confirm the correct allocation of riparian and land use cover classes.

2.4. Spatial autocorrelation assessment

Moran’s I statistics (Moran, 1950) were used to estimate general patterns of spatial dependency. Moran’s I is frequently used in geostatistical and ecological studies (Fortin et al., 2002; Segurado et al., 2006), and is obtained by dividing the spatial covariation by the total variation of a given attribute. Global Moran’s I evaluates whether the pattern expressed is clustered, dispersed, or random. When the z score indicates statistical significance, a Moran’s I value near +1.0 indicates clustering, while a value near –1.0 indicates dispersion, and 0 or near to 0 represents no spatial autocorrelation, that means a random pattern.

We calculated Global Moran’s I using three different configurations of distance matrices: (i) the “inverse distance criterion”, which includes all the sampling units and gives a lower weight with increasing distances from a given sampling unit; (ii) the “threshold distance”, which only includes the sampling units within a distance of 1000 m; and (iii) the “first continuity order”, which only includes the sampling units that share boundaries, the left and right contiguous sampling units.

A semivariogram function (Cressie, 1991; Wackernagel, 2003; Webster and Oliver, 2007) was applied to the riparian vegetation data, for the four streams, in order to calculate the spatial independence between sampling units. A variogram function is a mathematical description that relates the variance (or dissimilarity) of samples from a given attribute with the distance that separates them (Isaacs and Srivastava, 1989). Because nearby samples tend to have similar attribute values, low variance among samples is expected in the semivariogram. The variance increases asymptotically to the limit value, as the distances between samples increase. Samples that are separated by distances below this limit are spatially autocorrelated, whereas samples that are farther apart are independent, because the expected variance is not significantly different from the asymptotic value. The distance value between samples at which spatial autocorrelation is considered insignificant is named “range” (Oline and Grant, 2002).

We also calculated the Local Moran’s I (Anselin, 1995) – a measure of contagion that includes the effect of the spatial neighborhood (Keitt et al., 2002; Segurado and Araújo, 2004). The Local Moran’s I have a spatial autocorrelation value for each sampling unit, rather than the single value of the Global Moran’s I.

The spatial dependence of the land use variables was not evaluated, because ensuring the spatial independence of the biological variable means that unbiased correlations between dependent and independent variables are guaranteed (Lennon, 2000).

2.5. Influence of land use on the structure of riparian vegetation

Constrained ordination procedures were performed in CANOCO version 4.5 (ter Braak and Smilauer, 2002) to determine the influence of land use on the structure of the riparian vegetation (n = 330 sampling units). The gradient lengths of the landscape metrics datasets were evaluated with Detrended Correspondence Analysis. As the gradient lengths were lower than 4 standard deviation units (Leps and Smilauer, 2003) thus indicating a linear response, Redundancy Analysis (RDA) was used.

The effect of the spatial component in our data was analysed using two approaches: (1) by incorporating the spatial component into the landscape metrics dataset; and (2) by removing the spatial autocorrelation. For the first approach, RDA runs were performed: (i) using just land use variables; (ii) using land use variables and the Local Moran’s I matrix as co-variable (i.e. spatial variables); and (iii) using the spatial and the land use variables together.

For the second approach, we performed RDA using spatially independent sampling units. The distance between sampling units was defined by the “range” values obtained by the application of a semivariogram function to the landscape metrics (see Section 2.4). The subsampling method was defined to maximize the sample size, and avoided the duplication of any sampling unit. More precisely, the independent subsamples were obtained by systematically using an sampling unit that was separated from the following one by the “range” value: for instance, the first subsample begins with the inclusion of sampling unit1, the second subsample begins in sampling unit2, and so forth.

In both approaches the landscape metric datasets for the three riparian cover classes were centred and standardized and the correlation matrix was used to make them comparable. RDA runs were performed with forward selection of land use variables, and unrestricted Monte Carlo permutation tests for each one. A cut-off point of 0.10 was adopted. Variance inflation factors were examined to detect co-linearity between the land use variables. The total vari-
Table 3
Minimum and maximum values of landscape metrics; average (± SD) for each riparian cover class (n = 330 sampling units). Acronyms for landscape metrics are given in Table 1. Dominant riparian taxa, observed percentage cover class in parentheses, average species richness ± SD for the tree, shrub and herbaceous cover classes (n = 15 field surveys).

Riparian cover classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape metrics</th>
<th>Tree</th>
<th>Shrub</th>
<th>Herbaceous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>1.00–14.00 (3.43 ± 2.29)</td>
<td>1.00–11.00 (2.23 ± 1.62)</td>
<td>1.00–8.00 (1.92 ± 1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPS</td>
<td>21.67–1268.02 (1000.57 ± 1387.17)</td>
<td>5.41–4770.42 (413.94 ± 626.97)</td>
<td>6.73–2183.67 (420.70 ± 501.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSCV</td>
<td>6.60–21.81 (84.29 ± 41.10)</td>
<td>2.67–151.54 (63.20 ± 34.03)</td>
<td>9.01–128.58 (57.57 ± 30.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSI</td>
<td>1.03–5.67 (2.00 ± 0.76)</td>
<td>1.08–4.15 (1.79 ± 0.57)</td>
<td>1.16–5.70 (2.12 ± 0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPFD</td>
<td>1.47–2.13 (1.69 ± 0.11)</td>
<td>1.46–2.67 (1.73 ± 0.17)</td>
<td>1.45–2.69 (1.78 ± 0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNN</td>
<td>0.37–171.70 (15.10 ± 23.94)</td>
<td>0.80–210.70 (34.49 ± 45.13)</td>
<td>1.80–134.50 (28.35 ± 29.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI</td>
<td>2.43–4584.94 (379.34 ± 651.59)</td>
<td>1.12–539.37 (81.96 ± 126.11)</td>
<td>2.26–114.37 (25.35 ± 28.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JI</td>
<td>0–22.66 (10.00 ± 5.37)</td>
<td>1.27–24.99 (11.63 ± 4.89)</td>
<td>0–19.97 (8.91 ± 5.71)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Floristic composition

- Salix salviifolia (3)
- Salix atrocinerea (3)
- Fraxinus angustifolia (3)
- Populus nigra (2)
- Alnus glutinosa (2)
- Salix alba (1)

Dominant taxa (cover class)

- Sambucus nigra (2)
- Rubus ulmifolius (1)
- Crataegus monogyna (1)
- Tamarix africana (1)
- Mentha suaveolens
- Holcus lanatus

- Average species richness (± SD)
  - Tree: 4 ± 1.3
  - Shrub: 1.2 ± 1
  - Herbaceous: 25 ± 6.5

ance – also called ‘total inertia’ – explained by each combination was obtained by the sum of all canonical unconstrained eigenvalues (ten Braak and Smilauer, 2002).

Multiple linear regressions were performed to evaluate the relationship between the various types of land use and the landscape metrics. To identify the land use classes that contributed most to explaining the structure of the riparian vegetation, we used forward selection procedures and counted the number of significant regressions ($p < 0.05$) per land use class and land use buffer, for each landscape metric. STATISTICA software version 6.0 (StatSoft Inc., 2001) was used for the regression analyses.

In addition, we compared the expected and the observed frequencies of the landscape metrics to land use. Bibliographic sources, such as Aguiar et al. (2000), Aguiar and Ferreira (2005), Guirado et al. (2007), Schuft et al. (1999), Shandas and Alberti (2001) was used for the regression analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Riparian composition and hydrogeomorphology

Stretches of the Margem and the Chouto and the upstream section of the Sôr are of medium valley width. The riparian formations are dominated by willows, namely Salix salviifolia and Salix atrocinerea. The deep soils of the downstream section of the Margem support riparian wood dominated by ashes (Fraxinus angustifolia) and alders (Alnus glutinosa). The shrub strata is dominated by hawthorns (Crataegus monogyna), black elders (Sambucus nigra), and alder buckthorns (Frangula alnus). Tamarix africana was found in the most near-natural upstream section of the River Sôr. A patch mosaic of small-scale agriculture including orchards, vineyards and maize, and scattered human settlements dominated the landscape of these valleys.

The Rivers Muge and Sôr presented a relatively larger valley and channel width than the previous rivers, and their downstream sections often presented sandbars. Riparian woods were mainly composed of willows, and occasionally ashes and hawthorn. Isolated groups of black poplar (Populus nigra) were also found in the middle section of the Sôr. The most degraded areas were frequently composed of a sole shrub strata of Salix sp., surrounded by sedges of bramble ticket (Rubus ulmifolius) Eroded embankments with fine substrates were frequently invaded by the giant reed (Arundo donax). Large regular patches of rice, maize and other irrigation crops dominated the landscape near the riparian zone.

The natural regeneration of ashes and willows was frequently observed in the inner banks. Forests of Mediterranean shrublands, cork oaklands, and pine and eucalyptus forests were widespread on the floodplain.

3.2. Structure of riparian vegetation

The study area encompassed 330 sampling units, which resulted in the delimitation of 3900 patches of riparian vegetation.

Table 3 summarizes the overall characteristics of the structure of the riparian vegetation using landscape metrics and the dominant taxa found for each cover class. The tree cover class was mostly composed of willows, ashes and alders. This class was the most abundant, and presented the largest riparian patches (Mean Patch Size values) when compared to the other riparian cover classes, although a higher variability of the patch size (Patch Size Coefficient of Variation values) was also found. The highest number of tree cover patches (Number of Patches values) was found in the upstream sampling units of the River Sôr, whereas lower values occurred close to urban areas and small farms, or associated with areas with large widths of riparian vegetation, at least more than 30 m. Landscape metrics associated with the connectivity – namely the Mean Nearest-Neighbor Distance and the Mean Proximity Index – provide evidence of a higher connectivity of tree cover class in comparison to the other riparian cover classes. The high Mean Fractal Dimension Index values found for this riparian cover class corresponded to complex shapes with meandering forms, associated with large riparian widths. We even found a small number of riparian tree patches with Mean Fractal Dimension Index values that exceeded the maximum value usually referred to in the literature (see Table 1).

Riparian shrub strata frequently included black elder, hawthorn and dyer’s buckthorn. Patches of shrubs were smaller than the tree patches, but presented less fragmentation.

Under the canopies, the vegetation was dominated by a community of grasses, reeds, rushes and other vascular species associated with wet environments.

Where the herbaceous cover class was concerned, higher Mean Patch Size (MPS) values occurred near irrigation crops or associated with temporary sand deposits; also, higher Mean Shape Index (MSI) values were associated with elongated shapes, which were a frequent characteristic of this riparian cover class.
3.3. Spatial autocorrelation assessment

The results of the Global Moran’s I and its statistical significance for the three configurations of the distance matrix indicated the presence of spatial autocorrelation in most of the landscape metrics across the studied area (Appendix 1). The Mean Proximity Index had a spatial random pattern, except for the shrub cover class; nor did the Mean Nearest-Neighbor Distance reveal a significant clustered pattern for any of the riparian cover classes. No clear dispersed patterns were found. We observed clear differences in the spatial patterns for the riparian cover classes using the various configurations of the distance matrix, which indicates the existence of local spatial autocorrelation patterns. In addition, when the restriction of the sampling unit neighborhood was applied to the tree cover class, with a threshold distance and first continuity order approaches, we observed a higher spatial autocorrelation value than using the inverse distance criteria, which included all the sampling units. This means that the tree cover class had a higher spatial dependence at a local level than at the global level.

The application of a semivariogram function to the landscape metrics for the four streams resulted in an estimation of the “range” value that varied between 2395 m and 2963 m. In order to ensure that sampling units were spatially independent, we therefore used the value of 3000 m between sampling units. The subsampling resulted in 9 combinations (n = 28) of different sampling units (see Section 2.5 for detailed subsampling method).

3.4. Influence of land use on the structure of riparian vegetation

Fig. 3 shows the results of the contribution of the land use variables to the total variance of the structure of the riparian vegetation obtained from the RDA runs for the three approaches.

On the whole, the explained variance using the proximal land use (30 m land use buffer) presented consistently higher values than that using the distal land use (200 m land use buffer). This pattern was also observed for stream sections with slightly different valley morphologies, namely the Margem/Chouto and Muge/Sôr.

Another consistent pattern that emerges in the overall RDA analyses was the decrease in the explained variance upon removal of the spatial component (Local Moran’s I as co-variable). The explained variance that results from using the spatial and the land use variables together, ranged from 20.1% to 33.4%. These results indicate that the structure of the riparian vegetation is more dependent on its spatial component than on the land use variables from either buffer. This means that part of the variance of the riparian vegetation is explained by neighboring values. We also observed higher total variance for the tree cover class than for the other riparian cover classes.

Fig. 4 illustrates the contribution of land use variables, proximal and distal land use buffers, to an explanation of the total variance of the riparian cover classes, using combinations of spatially independent sampling units (9 subsamples; 28 sampling units per subsample). We observed a high increase of the total variance when compared with the previous approach, where we used non-independent sampling units (Fig. 3). Likewise, the proximal land use buffer had a greater influence on the overall riparian cover classes than the distal land use buffer. This trend was especially evident for the tree cover class. For the herbaceous cover class, a high variability was detected in relation to the results of the 9 RDAs we performed.

3.5. Influence of land use classes on the tree cover class

We used the tree cover class to evaluate the influence of the different land use classes, since it was best represented in the study area and displayed the highest percentage of variance explained by land use variables, compared to the other cover classes (Figs. 3 and 4).

Using both early findings from the literature and expert judgement, we suggested a negative relationship between most of the landscape metric values and the tree cover class when influenced by human land use, except in the case of the Mean Nearest-Neighbor Distance, and Number of Patches (Table 4). We therefore expected that increasing land use pressure would result in a high number of patches (expressed by Number of Patches), and smaller patches (expressed by Mean Patch size) with less complex shapes (expressed by low Mean Fractal Dimension Index values, and low
Table 4
Expected and observed responses of landscape metrics to the increasing areas occupied by each land use (↑-positive relation; ↓-negative relation) for the tree cover class. Number of significant multiple regression analyses (p < 0.05) of landscape metrics and land use variables (30 m and 200 m land use buffers) using spatial independent sampling units (9 subsamples; 28 sampling units per subsample). Acronyms for landscape metrics are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape metrics</th>
<th>Expected response</th>
<th>Observed response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agroforestry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPS</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td>↓3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSCV</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td>↓4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSI</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPFD</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNN</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI</td>
<td>↑↓</td>
<td>↑1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IJI</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td>↓2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean Shape Index values), but more isolated patches (high Mean Nearest-Neighbor Distance values and low Mean Proximity Index values). We also expected more homogeneous riparian patches, (expressed by lower Patch Size Coefficient of Variation values), and low interspersion of the patch distribution (expressed by low Interspersion and Juxtaposition Index values) along a gradient of land use pressure.

In general, the observed responses of the landscape metrics were concordant with the expected ones (Table 4). Agriculture presented the highest number of significant regressions (p-value < 0.05) with virtually all the landscape metrics, the exception being the fragmentation metrics Mean Nearest-Neighbor Distance and Mean Proximity Index. We observed a low number of much smaller riparian tree patches, with less jagged shapes, and a low interspersion of the patch distribution with increasing agricultural areas in the land use buffer – mainly in the 30 m buffer. For the other land uses the general patterns of degradation were similar to those found for agriculture, though supported by a low number of significant responses. We also observed an increase in the degradation pattern across the land use pressure gradient, from agroforestry to urban land use (Fig. 5).

We selected two landscape metrics with a high number of significant regressions with the agriculture in the 30 m land use buffer, to illustrate the response of the landscape metrics to the increase of the agricultural area (Fig. 6). The Mean Patch Size presented lower values and low variability with the increasing agricultural area. The same pattern was observed for the Mean Shape Index, albeit with higher variability with increasing agricultural areas.

4. Discussion

4.1. Structure of the riparian vegetation

Numerous studies on the ecology and management of riparian zones seek to relate human disturbances in the surrounding landscapes with degradation of riparian vegetation (Baker et al., 2007; Malanson and Cramer, 1999). The use of field-based methods over large riparian areas is very time-consuming and often results in the...
loss of the overall perception of the landscape, making it difficult to propose management guidelines, like forestation of degraded areas, stock management, establishment of riparian buffers, control of invasive plants, or to help managers prioritize the places to restore, improve, or protect. Landscape metrics, such as Mean Patch Size, Mean Nearest-Neighbor Distance, or Mean Proximity Index, can be used as proxies of riparian width, longitudinal continuity and fragmentation (Johansen and Phinn, 2006), and therefore indicate the status of the riparian vegetation. The present study uses a set of landscape metrics and proposes a combined approach in order to characterize the structure of the riparian vegetation. It is widely recognized that combining landscape metrics from the same category, such as the Number of Patches and Mean Patch Size (Apan et al., 2002), is necessary for there to be a reliable evaluation of the structure of riparian vegetation.

In addition to confirming this, the present study points to the advantage of a complementary approach using landscape metrics from diverse categories. For instance, the joint use of area/density and shape metrics, such as the Number of Patches and Mean Shape Index, and metrics of connectivity (e.g. Mean Nearest-Neighbor Distance, Mean Proximity Index), helps characterize the structure of riparian vegetation. This was the case with wide well-preserved riparian vegetation stretches, which consistently displayed large connected tree patches with complex shapes, whereas herbaceous vegetation was characterized by elongated and connected patches with simple shapes. These findings can also help to identify highly degraded riparian zones, such as those in Portugal’s coastal watersheds, which are invaded by the alien species Arundo donax L. (giant reed). The giant reed forms dense, monotypic stands, and thus high connected patches, but with simple stretched shapes, which can be identified using a combination of landscape metrics like the Mean Nearest-Neighbor Distance, Mean Proximity Index, Mean Shape Index and Number of Patches. However, knowledge of the hydrogeomorphological background of watercourses is still indispensable, since the narrow riparian zones that are naturally found in first-order streams mimic the degraded riparian vegetation, with small linear patches and low inter-connectivity.

The shrub and herbaceous cover classes were naturally underestimated, due to the superimposition of canopies. However, distinguishing between the tree and shrub cover classes is feasible using the type of high-resolution images to which we had access. Most studies using remote sensing have only considered the riparian woody vegetation, shrubs and trees (Apan et al., 2002; Schuft et al., 1999). Whereas for more detailed assessments, it is necessary to characterize the canopy and subcanopy surface topography, and other remote sensing techniques, such as the LIDAR sensors, broad beam, full return with high sampling rates (Goetz, 2006), are recommended.

4.2. Spatial autocorrelation assessment

This work also points to the importance of quantifying and taking into account the spatial component of the data, which is particularly relevant in riparian vegetation studies, due to its linear nature. In the present study most of the landscape metrics revealed a high global spatial autocorrelation, and also local patterns of spatial dependence. By mapping the Local Moran’s I it is possible to identify the sampling units with highest spatial dependence (Fig. 7a) and spatial independence (Fig. 7b), per landscape metric, which can provide site-specific information for management of degraded areas. Exceptionally, the connectivity metric Mean Proximity Index showed a spatially random pattern for the tree cover class; however, this could be explained by the selection of the connectivity threshold (5 m), rather than by spatial independence of data distribution. The spatial autocorrelation patterns we observed can be explained by historical factors (Dormann, 2007; Segurado et al., 2006), or biotic factors (Legendre, 1993), or environmental variables (Legendre and Fortin, 1989). This study also suggests a spatial autocorrelation evaluation procedure for the influence of the surrounding land use in the riparian structure, using two approaches: incorporation of the spatial component; and the use of spatially independent Sampling Units. The former procedure revealed a high dependency of the data on the spatial component, and a decrease in the extent to which land use variables helped explain the total variance of the structure of riparian vegetation. The second approach, by removing the spatial autocorrelation, led to a significant increase in the variance explained by land use, although we inevitably lost biological information due to subsampling.

4.3. Influence of land use in the structure of the riparian vegetation

In general, there was an agreement between the expected and the observed responses of the landscape metrics due to the influence of land use. The present study clearly showed that riparian tree patches affected by nearby agricultural areas are characterized by a low number of small patches, whereas in the riparian areas of Cedar River, USA, Timm et al. (2004) observed degraded riparian areas with numerous small patches. For management purposes, a clear referential of well-preserved riparian vegetation in the region is therefore needed in order to define the near-natural spatial patterns and to further identify possible changes due to land use. This result can be also due to different magnitudes of land use pressure; thus low numbers of small patches in our area can be indicative of a highly degraded landscape. In contrast to our results, Apan et al. (2002) did not observe differences in patch configurations
The low representation of the remaining land use classes in the study area did not make it possible to achieve a consistent pattern in the relationships between the land uses and the structure of riparian vegetation. Nevertheless, the values of the landscape metrics point towards the degradation of the riparian vegetation along the land use pressure gradient, from agroforestry to urban land uses.

This study found that the proximal land use has a greater effect on the structure of the riparian vegetation than distal land use, as has been suggested by studies in other geographic areas (Bott et al., 2006; Bunn and Davies, 2000; von Schiller et al., 2008) and by a precursor study in the River Tagus watershed by Ferreira et al. (2005). The latter stated that the “proximity and extension of land use patches interplay to influence the degree of changes in the riparian areas”. In fact, an increment of around 14% of explained variance was achieved at the 30 m land use buffer, compared to the distal land use buffer. Even so, a large part of the variability in the structure of the riparian vegetation remained unexplained.

Natural disturbances, such as fire, and the flash-flow hydrological regime typical of Mediterranean rivers, as well as site-specific human disturbances, such as tree clearing, sand extraction, and channel re-profiling, can help to explain part of the structure of riparian vegetation (McIntyre and Hobbs, 1999). The composition of riparian vegetation, especially the tree and shrub cover classes, could also partially explain the variability of the spatial patterns of riparian vegetation, and it is essential to detect non-native vegetation patches. We therefore advocate a comprehensive approach to the evaluation of the conservation status of riparian vegetation, which should be based on the interpretation of a set of landscape metrics and supported by a posteriori on-ground vegetation survey methods.

5. Conclusions and implications for riparian management

The structure of riparian vegetation can give essential clues for riparian management, and its assessment through landscape metrics can help prioritize where to restore, enhance, or protect riparian zones. Below we present the main findings of the present study and their implications for riparian management:

5.1. Spatial patterns of riparian vegetation can be consistently described with a combination of landscape metrics from various categories

Although it is important to use various configuration, isolation, fragmentation and distribution-based landscape metrics to assess degradation in detail, the ultimate selection of landscape metrics rely on the management or conservation goals in question. In certain situations, only one or two metrics are necessary. For instance, the Mean Proximity Index can be used to restore the longitudinal connectivity of riparian corridors for the movement or dispersal of a given target-species. A threshold distance for the species is defined a priori as the minimum distance between patches required for the use of the riparian area as an ecological corridor. The stretches that need to be restored are identified when the metric value is zero. For a quick identification of fragmented areas, the Mean Nearest-Neighbor Distance combined with the Mean Patch Size can give an idea of the overall degradation. On the other hand, when seeking to identify which riparian areas to protect, we suggest the use of spatial configuration metrics associated with ecological fluxes and species dynamics, such as the Mean Shape Index, Mean Patch Size and Mean Fractal Dimension Index, combined with metrics that evaluate fragmentation. The results of landscape metrics can be easily mapped on a GIS platform, thereby allowing the visualization of critical areas, and can also be used to monitor the success of the restoration or conservation actions.

High spatial resolution imagery – pixel size less than five metres – is required in order to assess the structure of riparian vegetation, and principally for the spatial configuration metrics Mean Shape Index and Mean Fractal Dimension Index. This resolution is needed to capture the complexity of the shape of riparian patches. Besides spatial resolution, other characteristics of the images must be considered. The radiometric resolution, which is the number of digital levels used to express the data collected by the sensor, should possess a minimum of eight bits (0–255 digital numbers). Otherwise the discrimination of the riparian cover classes by the perception of the grey level scale will be impaired. Where spectral resolution – the width and the number of the spectral bands of the sensor – is concerned, a “true color image” given by the combination of the blue, green and red bands in the visible region is appropriate to the application of this approach, since it does not make use of the numerical information in the bands.

5.2. Proximal land use has a greater effect on the structure of riparian vegetation than distal land use, especially in areas occupied by agriculture

Understanding the importance of the land uses and related human activities in river surroundings can undoubtedly help take practical managerial decisions. In Portugal there are no legislative tools that are specifically designed to limit the human pressures near riparian zones. The width of streamside public areas depends solely on the size of catchment areas. Protection buffers ought to take account of the impact of the different land uses on the river sur-
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The present study also provided evidence that using just the tree cover class to characterize the structural features of woody riparian vegetation does not lead to a substantial loss of information. This has the advantage of being less time-consuming, especially in digitalizing the riparian patches, and also overcomes the problem of underestimated shrubby canopies.

Mapping the spatial autocorrelation of the riparian vegetation can provide additional management information. Riparian stretches that present autocorrelation can be object of the same management actions. It is to be expected that the vegetation structure along stretches that present spatial dependence will present similar responses to managerial activities, such as the restoration of longitudinal connectivity. The detection of local autocorrelation patterns can help locate site-specific riparian areas with similar patterns in the contiguous adjacencies within the riparian area, and also areas of transition with regard to changes in structural features, such as the fragmentation or diverse distribution of riparian patches.

Fig. 8. Invasion of riverbanks by the giant reed; minimum and maximum values of landscape metrics; average ± SD in parentheses for giant reed patches (16 sampling units at River Aveiras, 4 km).

“World User Imagery” from the ArcGIS Resource Center (http://www.resources.esri.com/arcgisonlineservices), spatial resolution 1–2 m.

5.3. The pattern of degradation of riparian vegetation is characterized by a reduction in the number, size and complexity of riparian tree patches, along with a disproportionate patch distribution within the riparian landscape

One of the main contributions made by the present study was the characterization of the spatial patterns of the structure of riparian vegetation when impacted by land use. However, caution must be observed: (i) when transposing the present results to other regions; it is crucial to define a structural benchmark by assessing the patterns of near-natural riparian vegetation which is as unimpaired by human land use and other pressures as possible; (ii) with riparian areas invaded by alien, or composed of forestry species; in general, it is to be expected that connected and large riparian patches will correspond to well-preserved riparian areas, but they can be the result of monospecific stands of non-riparian or non-native species; (iii) with the spatial component of data; spatial autocorrelation can influence the results obtained when assessing effects of land use.

5.4. Additional outcomes of the present study for riparian management

Landscape metrics can be used to identify areas invaded by alien plants, such as those caused by the giant reed. The present approach is being improved for detecting and mapping invaded areas by the use of the spectral reflectance signature of this species. The giant reed form usually simple, large and elongated patches, that extend in continuous and almost monospecific stands along riverbanks (Fig. 8).

The present study also provided evidence that using just the tree cover class to characterize the structural features of woody riparian vegetation does not lead to a substantial loss of information. This has the advantage of being less time-consuming, especially in digitalizing the riparian patches, and also overcomes the problem of underestimated shrubby canopies.
McCargar, K., Marks, B.J., 1994. FRAGSTATS Spatial Pattern Analysis Program for Quantifying Landscape Structure. Forest Science Department, Oregon State University, Corvallis.